

UNITED STATES  
ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON 20545

March 29, 1974

Jim:

The enclosed is intended to conform to some of the Commission thinking and Rowden's suggestion in a meeting I had with him yesterday.

1. The Commission seems to be anxious to deal directly with the physicians and not involve Argonne. This may represent disaffection with Argonne.
2. Rowden wanted the whole range of actions covered in the plan, which, I believe, he wants to go to the Commission for approval.
3. I forgot to mention that the Commission plans to meet with JCAE soon to let them know about the problem in a closed session without written communication.
4. At 11 a.m. today, you and I will meet with Rowden, Schur, Greenleigh and a member of Rowden's litigation group that has been assigned to the project. Do you want anyone else from DBER to attend that meeting?
5. I don't think that the Commission has any grasp of the AEC's involvement in the matter in the sense of their staff, advisory committee and Commission (1972 and possibly 1948) knowledge of the injections. They may need access to our briefing documents plus.
6. Louie Hempelmann has come up with two additional fatally ill patients who were injected at Rochester in 1944. There are also uranium and polonium injection cases. At the meeting, may we raise the question of including everything we discover?

Sid

0000102